

Implementing Digital Processing for Automotive Radar Using SoCs

WP-01183-1.3

White Paper

This white paper shows the feasibility of implementing the digital processing portion of a representative radar system using Altera[®] low-cost Cyclone[®] V SoCs. Advantages of this approach compared to a custom ASIC are reduced time to market, field upgradability, the ability to rapidly and easily implement in floating-point, dual pre-integrated ARM[®] CortexTM-A9 microprocessor systems, and available automotive-grade devices.

Introduction

Radar has long been used in military and commercial applications. Recently, radar has begun to appear on high-end automobiles for parking assistance and lane departure warning. Next-generation automotive radars must be more sophisticated, as radar will play a key role in active collision avoidance and adaptive cruise control. Once the radar is integrated into a system that actively controls the vehicle, as opposed to merely providing warning signals, the system reliability requirements become much more stringent, with an associated liability potential for vehicle collisions.

This white paper describes how an automotive radar system was built using digital processing segments with Altera's rapid prototyping and development tool flow for digital signal processing (DSP) design, known as DSP Builder Advanced. The results provide actual circuit size and performance metrics for the digital portion of the radar processing. This digital processing incorporates a new breed of programmable logic, known as SoCs, which embed powerful dual 925 MHz ARM Cortex-A9 processors in a low-cost FPGA fabric. Automotive-grade versions of these devices are also available and capable of 700 MHz CPU clock rates. SoCs provide a flexible, scalable platform for applications from radar to light detection and ranging (LIDAR) to infrared and visible cameras. Moreover, both the FPGA hardware and ARM software implementations use floating-point processing, which provides superior performance in radar applications compared to traditional fixed-point implementations in FPGAs or ASICs. These low-cost SoC devices support volume applications, while providing much faster time to market compared to alternate ASIC solutions.

The SoC approach provides the ability for production line or even field firmware updates of both software and hardware, which can be important as automotive radar systems continue to increase in complexity. SoCs are also ideal for integrating video processing using cameras. Video analytics processing can be used in conjunction with the radar detection information in a process called sensor fusion, where multiple sensing systems combine to generate the most reliable data on which to base decisions.



© 2013 Altera Corporation. All rights reserved. ALTERA, ARRIA, CYCLONE, ENPIRION, HARDCOPY, MAX, MEGACORE, NIOS, QUARTUS and STRATIX words and logos are trademarks of Altera Corporation and registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and in other countries. All other words and logos identified as trademarks or service marks are the property of their respective holders as described at www.altera.com/common/legal.html. Altera warrants performance of its semiconductor products to current specifications in accordance with Altera's standard warranty, but reserves the right to make changes to any products and services at any time without notice. Altera assumes no responsibility or liability arising out of the application or use of any information, product, or service described herein except as expressly agreed to in writing by Altera. Altera customers are advised to obtain the latest version of device specifications before relying on any published information and before placing orders for products or services.





Pulse-Doppler Method for Automotive Radar

Many radar systems employ pulse-Doppler methods, in which the transmitter operates for a short duration, then the system switches to receive mode until the next transmit pulse. The pulse-Doppler radar sends successive pulses at specific intervals or a pulse repetition interval (PRI). As the radar returns, the reflections are processed coherently to extract range and relative motion of detected objects. More sophisticated processing methods, such as space time adaptive radar (STAP) further process radar returns and extract target data even when heavily obscured by ground clutter or background returns surrounding the object(s) of interest.

••••

For further information on radar basics, pulse-Doppler radar, STAP radar, and SAR radar, refer to *EETimes'* tutorial on "Radar Basics."

In automotive radar, the range can be as short as a few meters to as much as a few hundred meters. For a range of 2 m, the round-trip transit time of the radar pulse is 13 ns. This short range requires that the transmitter and receiver operate simultaneously, which requires separate antennas. The pulse-Doppler radar sends a pulse periodically, and the ratio of the time the transmitter is active to the total time elapsed is the duty cycle. Since duty cycles are typically small, this ratio limits the total transmit power. The power, in turn limits the range of detection. Achieving a 1-to 2 m range resolution also requires a sample rate on the order of 100 MSPS or more, and the ability to digitally process the data in both range and Doppler dimensions. This high sample rate can increase the cost of the radar system.

Alternatively, a radar method known as continuous wave frequency modulated (CWFM) can be employed. CWFM does not sent out pulses and then monitor the returns, or radar echo. Instead, a carrier frequency transmits continuously. To extract useful information from the continuous return echo, the carrier frequency is increased steadily over time, and then decreased, as shown in Figure 1. Both the transmitter and receiver operate continuously. In order to prevent the transmit signal from leaking into the receiver, we use separate transmit and receive antennas.





Time

The radar must determine the range of objects detected. In CWFM, the range is accomplished by measuring the instantaneous receive frequency difference, or delta, from the transmit frequency. During the positive frequency ramp portion of the transmit cycle, the receive frequency will be somewhat less than the transmit frequency, depending upon the time delay. During the negative frequency ramp portion of the transmit cycle, the receive frequency will be greater than the transmit frequency, again depending upon the time delay. These frequency differences, or offsets, will be proportional to the round-trip delay time, which provides a means to measure the range. The greater the range, the more time delay there is from the transmitter to the receiver. Since the transmit frequency is constantly changing, the difference between transmit and receive frequencies at any given instant will be proportional to the elapsed time for the transmit signal to travel from the radar to the target and back.

An example block diagram of a CWFM radar is shown in Figure 2. Automotive radars operate in the millimeter range, meaning the wavelength of the transmit signal is a few millimeters. Common frequencies used are 24 GHz (λ =12.5 mm) and 77 GHz (λ = 3.9 mm). We use these frequencies primarily because of the small antenna size needed, relative availability of spectrum, and rapid attenuation of radio signals (automotive radar ranges are limited to hundreds of meters). By using CWFM, there is no amplitude modulation, and the transmitter only varies in frequency. Use of FM allows for the transmit circuit to operate in saturation, which is the most efficient mode for any RF amplifier.



Figure 2. Automotive Radar Block Diagram

Due to the analog mixer circuit, the receive low-pass filter only needs to pass the difference between the receive and transmit signals, and does not need to pass the 500 MHz bandwidth of the receive signal over the transmit cycle. This passing of the difference in signals is most easily illustrated by example. Let us assume receive reflections at the range extremes of the system, say at distances of 1 m and 300 m.

With a frequency ramp of 500 MHz in 0.5 ms, or 1 kHz per ns, the frequency of the receive signal will be as follows, using the speed of light at 3×10^8 m/s:

1 m distance = 2 m round trip delay = 2 m \div (3 \times 10⁸ m/s) = 7 ns

300 m distance = 600 m round trip delay = 600 m \div (3 \times 10⁸ m/s) = 2 µs

During the positive frequency ramp, the return from the object at a 1 m distance will be a -7 kHz offset. During the negative frequency ramp, the return from the object at a 1 m distance will be a +7 kHz offset.

During the positive frequency ramp, the return from the object at a 300 m distance will be a -2 MHz offset. During the negative frequency ramp, the return from the object at a 1 m distance will be +2 MHz offset.

These offsets tell us that the receiver will see frequencies in the range of ± 2 MHz, depending upon the range of the target generating the return. This frequency can be detected by operating a fast Fourier transform (FFT) for the time intervals shown in Figure 3. If the receiver samples at 5 MSPS, then for a receiver FFT sampling interval of about 0.4 ms, a 2,048 point FFT can be used, which will give a frequency resolution of 1 kHz, sufficient for a fraction-of-a-meter-range resolution. Further resolution is possible by performing an interpolation of the FFT output.



Figure 3. Receiver FFT Sampling Intervals

This observed frequency offset provides the receiver range returns, but cannot be used to discriminate between oncoming traffic, traffic traveling in same direction, or stationary objects at similar ranges. To make this discrimination, the Doppler frequency shift of the return must be exploited.

An example is again the best way to illustrate how the Doppler frequency shift can be detected. Assume the 77 GHz radar-equipped automobile is traveling at 80 km/hour, or 22.2 m/s, and consider three targets at 30 m distance—one an oncoming auto at 50 km/hour, another traveling in same direction at 100 km/hour, and a stationary object. When the objects are closing range, the Doppler frequency shift will be positive, meaning the return signal will have a higher frequency than that transmitted. Intuitively, this behavior is due to the wave crests of the signal appearing closer together due to the closing range. Conversely, when the range between the radar and the target is opening, or getting larger, the result will be a negative Doppler frequency shift. The amount of Doppler shift can be calculated as shown in Equation 1.

Doppler frequency shift = $(2 \times \text{velocity difference}) \div \text{wavelength}$

Oncoming auto at 50 km/hour, radar auto at 80 km/hour, closing rate of 130 km/hour or 36.1 m/s

Doppler frequency shift = $2(36.1 \text{ m/s}) \div (.0039 \text{ m}) = 18.5 \text{ kHz}$

Stationary object, radar auto at 80 km/hour, closing rate of 80 km/hour or 22.2 m/s

Doppler frequency shift = $2(22.2 \text{ m/s}) \div (.0039 \text{ m}) = 11.4 \text{ kHz}$

Auto ahead at 100 km/hour, radar auto at 80 km/hour, opening rate of 20 km/hour or 5.56 m/s

Doppler frequency shift = $-2 (5.56 \text{ m/s}) \div (.0039 \text{ m}) = -2.8 \text{ kHz}$

These Doppler shifts will offset the detected frequency differences on both the positive and negative frequency ramps. When there is no relative motion, and no Doppler shift, the difference in the receive frequency will be equal, but of opposite sign, in the positive and negative frequency ramps. So the Doppler shift can be found by comparing the receive frequency offsets during the positive and negative portions of the frequency ramp of the transmit signal. The relationship is described in Equation 2, where the range and the relative velocity can be determined by the output of the FFT using the results both during both positive and negative frequency ramps of the transmitter.

Equation 2. Relative Velocity

Relative velocity (target to radar) = (wavelength \div 2) × (positive ramp detected frequency – negative ramp detected frequency) \div 2

The frequencies seen by the receiver and processed by the FFT are shown in Figure 4. The receiver is demodulated, or downconverted, by using the transmit signal as the local oscillator (LO), so the FFT will process the frequency difference between the transmit and receive waveforms. Figure 4 shows only one target pulse return; however, there could be multiple (three in our example) different targets generating multiple frequencies for the FFT to detect.



Figure 4. Doppler Frequency Detection

Returning to the example, there are three targets at 30 m distance—an oncoming automobile at 50 km/hour, another traveling in same direction at 100 km/hour, and a stationary object. The automobile mounting the radar is traveling at 80 km/hour, or 22.2 m/s. For all three targets at 30 m, the receive frequency offset will be:

30 m range = 60 m round trip delay = 60 m \div (3 \times 10⁸ m/s) = 200 ns

Frequency offset: ±200 kHz on the negative and positive frequency ramp respectively.

The Doppler offset must be added to the frequency offset caused by the range delay. The values are summarized in Table 1.

30 m Range, 80 km/hr Radar Vehicle Speed	Negative Ramp Frequency Offset due to 30 m Range	Positive Ramp Frequency Offset due to 30 m Range	Frequency Offset due to Doppler (Negative and Positive Ramp)	Total Observed Negative/Positive Frequency Offset
Oncoming at 50 km/hr	200 kHz	-200 kHz	18.5 KH	218.5 kHz/ -181.5 kHz
Stationary object	200 kHz	-200 kHz	11.4 kHz	211.4 kHz / -188.6 kHz
Same direction at 100 km/hr	200 kHz	-200 kHz	-2.8 KHz	197.2 kHz/ -202.8 kHz

Table 1. Target Frequency Offsets due to Range and Doppler

Using only the observed negative and positive frequency offsets, both the range and relative Doppler shift of the targets can be determined using Equation 3, Equation 4, and Equation 5. Since the forward velocity of the radar-equipped auto is known, the velocities of the targets can be easily computed. Similarly, the frequency ramp rate of the radar is also a known quantity.

Equation 3. Range

Range = (speed of light \div (4 × frequency ramp rate)) × (negative frequency offset – positive frequency offset)

Equation 4. Relative Velocity

Relative velocity = (speed of light \div (4 × carrier frequency)) × (negative frequency offset + positive frequency offset)

Equation 5. Absolute Target Velocity

Absolute target velocity = Relative velocity - radar-equipped vehicle velocity

The frequency ramp rate in this case is 500 MHz over 0.5 ms, or rate of 1,000 GHz per second. Plugging in our example values, we find:

For vehicle approaching 50 km/h:

Range = $(3 \cdot 10^8 \div (4 \times 1000 \times 10^9)) \cdot (218.5 \times 10^3 - -181.5 \times 10^3) = 30 \text{ m}$

Relative velocity = $(3 \cdot 10^8 \div (4 \times 77 \times 10^9)) \times (218.5 \times 10^3 + -181.5 \times 10^3) = 36$ m/s = 130 km/hr

Absolute target velocity = 130 - 80 = 50 km/hour closing rate

The other target calculations are similar.

A critical aspect to be considered is in the presence of multiple targets, it may not be easily apparent how to pair up the frequencies in the negative and positive ramp period. This problem is known as ambiguities in radar jargon, and special techniques are needed to deal with it, as it is a more complicated issue in CWFM radar than in pulse-Doppler radar.

One approach to the problem is varying the duration and frequency of the ramps and evaluating how the detected frequencies move in the spectrum with different steepness of frequency ramps. This variation can allow accurate pairing of the negative and positive frequency identification of each target. As the ramp can be varied roughly once per ms, hundreds of these variations can be analyzed in a fraction of a second. It is normally the job of the control processor, implemented in one of the ARM Cortex-A9 processors of the SoC, to control the settings of the frequency ramps and durations, and determine the target range and Doppler from the FFT output frequencies detected in the negative and positive frequency ramps.

Using alternate sensing techniques can also help. Cameras can help discriminate between stronger returns from vehicles compared to weaker returns from people, and what sort of Doppler offset to expect. If stereo cameras are used, then imaging analysis techniques can be employed to help estimate range as well.

Another option is a multimode radar, using CWFM to find targets at longer range on the open highway, and using a short range pulse-Doppler radar for urban areas, where more target returns are present at closer ranges. The pulse-Doppler radar will have less ambiguity detection issues in a crowded target environment.

Radar Link Budget

Radar performance is governed by the link budget equations, which determine what level of receive signal are available for detection. A simplified version of the radar link budget is expressed in Equation 6.

Equation 6. Radar Link Budget

 $P_{rcv} = P_{trx} \times G^2 \times \sigma \times \lambda^2 \times \tau \div ((4\pi)^3 \times R^4)$

Where:

P_{trx} is the peak transmit power

G is the transmit and receive antenna gain

 σ is the radar cross section or area of the target

 λ is the radar wavelength

 τ is the duty cycle of transmitter

R is the range to the target

Often, parameters are specified on a logarithmic scale, using decibels (dB), or dBm (dB referenced to 1 mW). This scale will be used in this case, but figures in Watts will also be given. We must make a few assumptions. With an achievable receiver noise figure of 5 dB, the receiver sensitivity should be about -120 dBm (10^{-15} W). Assuming about 20 dB signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) to achieve reasonable frequency detection, results in a requirement that P_{rcv} should be at least 100 dBm (10^{-13} W) under worst-case circumstances.

We will use an antenna gain of 30 dB, or 1000. For a parabolic antenna, the gain in the direction of the boresight of the antenna can be calculated as shown in Equation 7.

Equation 7. Antenna Boresight Gain

 $G = 4\pi A_{eff} \div \lambda$

Working backward, we find the area required for the antenna at 77 GHz is .0012 m², which works out to a diameter of 0.04 m, or 4 cm, which is a very reasonable size to mount on the front of a vehicle. (Note, we need at least one transmit and one receiver antenna.)

The duty cycle τ in CWFM is 100%, or 1. If we assume a transmit power of 0.1 W (20 dBm), a maximum range of 300 m, and a target vehicle reflecting area of 1 m², we can find the worst-case receive power under reasonable circumstances.

 $P_{rcv} = (0.1 \times 1,000^2 \times 1 \times .0039^2 \times 1) \div ((4\pi)^3 \times 300^4) = 9.4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ W or } -100 \text{ dBm}$

Using a very close range, say 2 m, and same cross sectional area of 10 m^2 (the back of a tractor trailer), we can calculate the maximum P_{rcv} we can expect under reasonable circumstances.

 $P_{rcv} = (0.1 \times 1,000^2 \times 1 \times .0039^2 \times 10) \div ((4\pi)^3 \times 2^4) = 4.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ W or } -3.2 \text{ dBm}$

These calculations tell us that our system requires a very high-dynamic-range receiver, on the order of 120 dB. The high dynamic range imposes a severe requirement upon linearity of the receiver and the analog-to-digital converter (ADC). However, a large target only 2 m away will obscure the radar line of sight for other targets. Therefore, an analog automatic gain control (AGC) loop can be used to reduce the dynamic range of the receiver and ADC by desensitizing the receiver with attenuation in the presence of extremely large returns.

Full sensitivity could be desired in a slightly less demanding situation, such as a 1 m² target at 4 m distance, and still used to detect other targets at much longer range. In this case, the large return will have a receive power:

 $P_{rcv} = (0.1 \times 1,000^2 \times 1 \times .0039^2 \times 1) \div ((4\pi)^3 \times 4^4) = 3.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W or } -25 \text{ dBm}$

The use of AGC reduces the dynamic range requirement to about 95 dBm, achievable using a 16 bit ADC. To give some margin, the ADC could be operated with 32x oversampling (beyond Nyquist requirements) to achieve another effective 3 bits, or reducing the quantization noise floor a further18 dB. Alternatively, an 18 bit ADC could be used, but would likely be much more costly.

Implementation Considerations

The advantage of the CWFM radar architecture is simplicity in both the analog and digital implementation. On the analog side, the transmitter can be implemented using a direct digital synthesizer (DDS) with a standard reference crystal. The DDS generates an analog frequency ramp reference for the phased-locked loop (PLL) to generate the desired transmit frequency modulation. For example, if the PLL has a divider of 1000, then in our example, the reference would be centered at 77 MHz, with a 5 MHz frequency ramp. This analog ramp signal drives the reference of a PLL, which disciplines a 77 GHz oscillator. The oscillator output of the circuit is amplified and produces the continuous wave (CW) signal ramping up and down over 500 MHz with a center frequency of 77 GHz. Filtering and matching circuits at 77 GHz can be

accomplished using passive components etched into high-epsilon R dielectric circuit cards, minimizing the components required. Figure 5 illustrates an analog circuit block diagram.



Figure 5. Analog Circuit Block Diagram

In the receiver, the front end requires filtering and a low-noise amplifier (LNA), followed by an analog mixer. The mixer downconverts the 77 GHz receive signal with the ramping transmit signal, outputting a baseband signal that contains the difference between the transit and receive waveforms at any given instant. The ramping is cancelled out, as we see fixed frequencies depending upon the range and Doppler shift of the target returns. Again, the high-frequency filtering at 77 GHz can be implemented using etched passive components. The output of the mixer will be at low frequency, up to ± 2 MHz at maximum range. Therefore, traditional passive components and operational amplifiers can be used to provide anti-aliasing low-pass filtering prior to the ADC. Alternately, an intermediate frequency (IF) architecture could be used, but would require an offset receive LO generation circuit.

Note that complex downconversion is not required. The baseband signal is composed of frequencies, either all positive (during negative frequency ramp) or all negative (during positive frequency ramp), so a mixer followed by a single low-pass filter and ADC is sufficient.

The ADC for baseband input must operate at a minimum of 5 MSPS to meet the Nyquist criterion. If, instead, an 8x sampling frequency of 40 MSPS is used, followed by an 8:1 digital decimation filter, then approximately 3 bits of additional resolution can be achieved. This decimation allows a 16 bit ADC to effectively operate in the range of 18 to19 bits, achieving well over 100 dB of dynamic range.

The digital filter can operate at a 160 MHz rate using 16 bit input samples, outputting samples at 5 MHz, but rounded to 24 bits. The next step of signal processing is to perform frequency discrimination using an FFT, followed by an interpolation circuit. The nature of FFTs is to have growth in the data precision as it proceeds through the processing stages. For our case, we will assume a 2,048 point FFT, which can potentially require up to 10 additional bits of precision to avoid any loss of data. However, this bit growth can be avoided by implementing the FFT in single-precision floating-point processing. The full 24 bit mantissa precision (23 bits plus sign) can be preserved through the FFT, and will easily accommodate the 100+ dB dynamic range of the target returns. Distant and weak target returns will not be obscured by nearby, strong returns, therefore avoiding the radar system being "blinded" by strong, nearby

reflections. The floating-point processing will also prevent weak returns from being "buried" in the digital noise floor of the FFT. Figure 6 illustrates a digital circuit block diagram.

Figure 6. Digital Circuit Block Diagram



The floating-point FFT circuit will also be clocked at 160 MHz, though only processing complex data at 10 MSPS. The floating-point circuits can be generated with much less logic by taking advantage of Altera's DSP Builder Advanced tool flow. In addition to generating full floating-point circuits, is capable of "folding" the datapath when the clock rate is higher than the data rate, thereby saving logic and DSP block resources, as well as power consumption. These optimizations are all achievable in Cyclone V SoCs, with the DSP Builder tool automating the timing closure process. The low-cost Cyclone V SoCs also feature DSP blocks that support both 18 x 19 and 27 x 27 multiplier sizes. The larger 27 x 27 multiplier size is ideal for use in floating-point designs.

An interpolation of the FFT output will help with precise frequency discrimination. If their energy is between frequency bins, the interpolation will prevent weak targets from being missed by aliasing the FFT energy into other bins, as shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. FFT Interpolation

Detection processing will likely be done using one of the on-chip ARM Cortex-A9 microprocessors. Algorithms from simple threshold to more sophisticated constant false alarm rate (CFAR) can be used. The interpolation of the FFT results can be implemented either in logic or in software, depending on the system designer's choices.

Interference Considerations

One must assume that eventually many vehicles may be equipped with radars operating at 77 GHz. The radar transmitter of an oncoming vehicle will likely produce a much stronger signal than most target reflections. However, the transmitter is operating over hundreds of MHz, specifically 500 MHz in this example. The receiver input bandwidth is on the order of 5 MHz, or about 1% of transmit bandwidth. Interference can only occur if the oncoming transmitter is sweeping through this 1% of its bandwidth at the same time the other receiver happens to also be sweeping through that particular bandwidth. Statistically, this overlap is not likely to occur very often, and when it does, it can be eliminated by randomly adjusting the transmit ramp timing. This problem is common in systems where many devices infrequently communicate over a shared channel using random access techniques.

Beamforming

The radar system described so far can detect range and velocity or targets, but cannot provide any information on the direction of the target, other than it is in front of the vehicle, within the beamwidth of the antenna. Directivity can be determined if the system has the ability to sweep or steer the radar transmit or receive antenna directivity, and monitor the variations in target return echo across the sweep.

The described system is assumed to use parabolic antennas. The parabolic antenna focuses the transmitted or received electromagnetic wave in a specific direction. The degree of focusing depends primarily upon the antenna area and wavelength. Using millimeter wave radar allows for small antennas.

A parabolic antenna can be "aimed" by mechanically orientating it in the desired direction, which is limited by the speed of mechanical movement, as well as reliability and cost issues. A popular alternative is to use electronic beam steering. In this case, the antenna becomes either a linear or square array of separate receive or transmit antennas. By coherently combining the separate antenna signals, the effects of constructive and destructive wave front combining will result in maximum gain in a specific direction, and minimum gain on other directions.

In the automotive radar case, elevation steering (up and down) of the radar is generally not required, so a two-dimensional antenna array is not required. A linear array, or line of antennas, will permit azimuth (side to side) steering of the antenna.The tradeoff is cost and complexity. In this case, steering of the receive direction is more straightforward, due to the digital processing of the receive signal. Each receiver must individually vary the phase of receive signal.

This phase adjustment provides for steerable directivity of the antenna beam. Only when the receive signal arrives in-phase across all the antenna elements will the maximum signal strength occur. The array antenna provides the ability to "aim" the main lobe of the antenna in a desired direction. Each antenna element must have a delay, or phase adjustment, such that after this adjustment, all elements will have a common phase of the signal. If the angle $\theta = 0$, then all the elements will receive the signal simultaneously, and no phase adjustment is necessary. At a non-zero angle, each element will have a delay to provide alignment of the wave front across the antenna array, as shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Electronic Steerable Antennas

The electronic steerable antenna requires replicating the analog receiver circuits for each of the N antenna receive nodes. Fortunately for millimeter radars, much of the circuitry, including antenna patches, filters, and matching circuits can be implemented directly on the PCB. The LNA, mixer, and ADC must also be replicated for each of the N nodes, and the ADC is likely to have the most cost impact.

Digitally, each set of inputs from the ADC of each antenna node must be delayed in phase. This delay is accomplished by a complex multiplier with N separate complex coefficients W_i for each of the N receive nodes. The DSP blocks of the Cyclone V SoCs are particularly suited for this function, and one DSP block can implement a complete complex multiplier at high speed. The control processor "sweeps" the receive antenna by updating N complex coefficients periodically and monitoring the changes in target return amplitudes. The additional FPGA DSP block and logic resources required to support beamforming for N of sizes 4 to 16, for example, is quite small. Once the resulting complex samples from each receive node are summed, the complex sum is low-pass filtered and applied to the FFT.

In a forward-looking automotive radar, the degree of desired azimuth steering may only be 5 to 10 degrees off the automobile centerline. In terms of cost effectiveness, it may be possible to use a parabolic transmit antenna with sufficient antenna lobe beam width and utilize a narrower lobe beam steering receive antenna to provide the ability to distinguish targets across different azimuths. Alternately, a more complex transmitter and transmit beamforming antenna can also be used to provide more gain in the desired transmit azimuth direction, but at greater cost and complexity.

Multimode Radar Option

At shorter ranges, a pulse-Doppler radar can be an alternative. The pulse-Doppler radar must have reduced detection range, as the transmit power is a fraction of the CWFM, due to the short duty cycle of the transmit chirp used. The pulse-Doppler radar does have the advantage of minimal detection ambiguities, with a tradeoff of requiring higher sample rates and signal processing rates, as well as use of external memory storage. For example, using a sample rate of 100 MHz (10 ns) with 64 range bins allows for a range of about 100 m round-trip distance, with range resolution of about 1.5 m. Collecting responses over 64 transmit pulses allows Doppler detection using 64 samples at each range. The storage necessary will be the 64x64 = 4096 complex samples, which must be stored for processing and necessitates an external DDR memory chip. Fortunately, Altera SoCs include hardened DDR memory controllers. The memory bandwidth is such that this DDR could be shared with the ARM processing subsystem, with the DDR controller arbitrating.

Using discrimination in both range and Doppler, targets can be resolved in both dimensions, as seen in Figure 9. If a pulse repetition rate of 250 kHz is used, it provides 4 µs between pulses, eliminating range issues. For target return from one pulse to appear in the next PRI would require a distance of 1,200 m or more round trip, or 600 m distance, and the resulting attenuation will render such a return undetectable. Doppler ambiguity also will not be an issue with an extended PRI of 250 kHz (the radar pauses after sampling each receive interval prior to the next transmit pulse). Using floating-point processing, an example plot across both range ("fast" time) and Doppler ("slow" time) can be obtained and detection processing performed in software.



Figure 9. Floating-Point Pulse-Doppler Target Detection

An advantage of using an SoC is that the device can change modes from CWFM to pulse-Doppler radar in a few milliseconds, using partial reconfiguration. Therefore, the FPGA does not support both modes simultaneously, saving hardware resources. Moreover, partial reconfiguration allows other portions of the FPGA, such as the ARM processing subsystem or interface circuits, to remain active and unaffected during the partial reconfiguration process.

FPGA Resources Estimations

Table 2 and Table 3 list the FPGA resource estimates reported by Altera's Quartus II software. The CWFM design uses much less resources than the Pulse Doppler design, and the CWFM can be comfortably implemented in Altera's lowest cost SoC.

40 MHz Sampling Rate, Digital Filtering and Downconversion, and FFT	Logic Elements	Variable-Precision DSP Blocks	M10K Memory Blocks	F _{MAX}
Fixed-point decimation filter	1K	4	0	
Floating-point 2,048 point FFT	5K	4	29	
Total resources required	6K	8	29	190 MHz, (1.1 V, 85° C)
5CEA2 SoC Resources	25K	36	140	(1.1 V, 00 0)
% of 5CEA2 SoC	24%	22%	21%	

 Table 2. CWFM Radar Implemented in Altera Cyclone V SoCs

64 Range Bins, 64 Doppler Bins, 100 MHz Sample Rate, PRI \approx 250 KHz	LEs	Variable-Precision DSP Blocks	M10K Memory Blocks	F _{MAX}
Floating-point pulse compression, FFT, and FFT interpolation processing	36K	66	153	197 MHz
Available resources	85K	87	397	(1.1 V, 85° C)
% of 5CSEA5 SoC	42%	76%	39%	1

Table 3. Pulse-Doppler Radar Implemented in Altera Cyclone V SoCs (64 Range Bins, 64 Doppler Bins, 100 MHz Sample Rate, PRI \approx 250 KHz)

Conclusion

Automotive sensor systems, which include radar, LIDAR, infrared and visible cameras, and other possible techniques, will continue to increase in complexity and capability. This white paper shows the feasibility of implementing the digital processing portion of a representative radar system using Altera's low-cost Cyclone V SoCs. Advantages of this approach compared to a custom ASIC are reduced time to market, field upgradability, the ability to rapidly and easily implement in floating-point, dual pre-integrated ARM Cortex-A9 microprocessor systems, and available automotive-grade devices. This approach also allows for integration of alternate technologies along with radar to perform "sensor fusion," where multiple sensing systems are used to make the most informed decisions regarding the control of the vehicle. The sensor fusion concept is not further developed here, but is expected to play an increasingly important role in future automotive driver assistance systems.

Further Information

- Radar Basics Tutorial Part 1: www.eetimes.com/design/programmable-logic/4216104/Radar-basics---Part-1
- Part 2: Pulse-Doppler Radar: www.eetimes.com/design/programmable-logic/4216419/Radar-Basics---Part-2--Pulse-Doppler-Radar
- Part 3: Beamforming and Radar Digital Processing: www.eetimes.com/design/programmable-logic/4216880/Radar-Basics---Part-3--Beamforming-and-radar-digital-processing
- Part 4: Space-Time Adaptive Processing: www.eetimes.com/design/programmable-logic/4217308/Radar-Basics---Part-4--Space-time-adaptive-processing
- Part 5: Synthetic Aperture Radar: www.eetimes.com/design/programmable-logic/4217944/Radar-Basics---Part-5--Synthetic-Aperture-Radar
- Altera SoC family: www.altera.com/devices/fpga/cyclone-v-fpgas/overview/cyv-overview.html
- Altera SoC processor subsystem: www.altera.com/devices/fpga/cyclone-v-fpgas/hard-processor-system/cyv-sochps.html

Acknowledgements

Michael Parker, DSP Planning Architect, Altera Corporation

Document Revision History

Table 4 lists the revision history for this document.

Date	Version	Changes
December 2013	1.3	Updates to "Introduction" on page 1.
January 2013	1.2	Updates to Figure 2, "Implementation Considerations", Figure 5, Figure 6, "Beamforming", and Figure 8.
September 2012	1.1	Minor text edits.
September 2012	1.0	Initial release.

Table 4. Document Revision History